2
3
4
5
6

PY FILED DEPL

APR - | 2010

CLEEK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

C. MAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Flet George Hickles

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Court for the Court for the alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1 (a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a),

The Court finds that:

A. (1) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (b) or (c). This finding is based on <u>o whow Comming Ties;</u>

outhour Beil Resources;

	Case 2:99-cr-01339-ABC Document 123 Filed 04/01/10 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:60
1	
2	
3	and/or
4	B. () The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
5	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any
6	other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (b) or (c). This
7	finding is based on:
8	
9	
10	•
11	
12	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the
13	further revocation proceedings.
14 15	DATED: 4 1 10
16	DITTED. 4 (() (O
17	
18	Unil Kuta
19	DAVID T. BRISTOW UNITED STATES/MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	